

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/709,483	11/13/2000	Oh-Nam Kwon	8733.307.00	4557	
30827 7.	590 11/16/2005	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			PHAM, THANH V		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	11, DC 20000		2823		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				^_		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	X		
Office Action Summary		09/709,483	KWON, OH-NAM	•		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thanh V. Pham	2823			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this community ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte		erits is		
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attach	nt(c)					
2) Notice 3) Notice 1	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date (ALE O)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)		

Application/Control Number: 09/709,483

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/13/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 and its dependents are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the specification discloses "the height of the first metal is substantially the same as the height of the substrate".

Application/Control Number: 09/709,483 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

4. Claims 1 and its dependents are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. If "the height of the first metal is substantially the same as the height of the substrate" then it cannot have "a surface including the surface of the substrate and the surface of the first metal is substantially planar" when the first metal is formed on the second metal in the groove in the substrate as claimed in the previous steps.

5. It is suggested that the above first limitation of "the height of the first metal is substantially the same as the height of the substrate" is deleted to overcome these rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Assuming that the claims are amended to overcome the above rejection and as being in compliance with 35 USC 112 and as being best understood in view of the claims rejection above, claims 1-2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. US 5,247,191 in combination with Shigeta et al. US 6,480,253 B1, Havemann et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,891,804 and Zhao et al. US 5,660,706.

The Yamazaki et al. reference discloses an improved method for filling trench in a single layer substrate to produce electrode for LCD devices. Embodiment 3 comprises preparing a substrate; forming a photoresist pattern P1 on the substrate; etching a portion of the substrate to form a groove 101 beneath a top surface of the substrate using the photoresist pattern P1 as a mask; depositing a metal 102 on the substrate, a height of the second metal being smaller than a depth of the groove; removing the photoresist pattern on the substrate and the second metal on the photoresist other than in the groove by lift-off technique (col. 6, line 4), fig. 7(A).

The Yamazaki et al. reference does not teach how the lift-off technique is applied so that the height of the metal being smaller than a depth of the trench is obtained.

The Shigeta et al. reference teaches forming electrode for LCD device in trench 10, figs. 3 wherein the metal 3 is formed in trench 10 with a height of the metal being smaller than a depth of the trench, a side portion of the photoresist pattern being exposed between the substrate and the metal; and the photoresist pattern on the substrate and the second metal on the photoresist other than in the trench is removed by lift-off process, col. 8, lines 19-42.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the lift-off technique of Yamazaki et al. with the lift-off process of Shigeta et al. because the process of Shigeta et al. would enable the process steps of Yamazaki et al. in order to have a side portion of the photoresist pattern being exposed between the substrate and the metal.

The Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. combination does not disclose preparing a mixed solution having a reductant and a first metal for forming the first metal on the second metal in the groove by submerging the substrate in the mixed solution so that the formed metal line having "a surface including the surface of the substrate and the surface of the first metal is substantially planar".

The Havemann et al. reference discloses a process for forming thin film conductors comprising forming a photoresist pattern 46 on a substrate 42/40; etching a portion of the substrate to form a groove 47 beneath a top surface of the glass substrate using the photoresist pattern as a mask; depositing a second metal 50 on the substrate, col. 2, lines 13-15, and a height of the second metal being smaller than a depth of the groove, fig. 3b; removing the photoresist pattern on the substrate and the second metal on the photoresist other than in the grove, fig. 3c; and forming the first metal 52 principally copper, col. 2, line 18, on the second metal in the groove, col. 4, lines 54-55, by electroless plating. Further, the Zhao et al. reference discloses, col. 1, lines 46-60

One technique for depositing copper, as well as other metals, is electroless deposition. In comparison to other copper deposition techniques, electroless copper deposition is attractive due to the low processing cost and high quality of copper deposited. ...

In addition, electroless deposition of copper (as well as other metals), offers an advantage in the selective growth of the metal in an interconnect opening (such as a via opening). Selective growth eliminates the need for a polishing or etching step to remove the excess deposited material. Techniques for selective deposition are known in the art

The step of electroless deposition inherently includes the step of preparing a mixed solution having a reductant and a first metal and submerging the substrate in the mixed solution.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the method steps of Havemann modified with the selective electroless deposition step in Zhao et al. into the method of Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. combination as those formation steps would have been selected to eliminates the need for a polishing or etching step to remove the excess deposited material and to improve further the filling trench in accordance with the thin film conductor as taught by Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. combination. The selective deposition as taught by Zhao et al. (figs. 12-13) would provide "a surface including the surface of the substrate and the surface of the first metal is substantially planar".

8. Claims 3, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. and Havemann et al./Zhao et al. combination as applied to claims 1-2 and 11 above, and further in view of Charneski et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,284,652 B1 and/or Eriksson U.S. Patent No. 3,632,435.

The above combination discloses substantially all of the instant invention.

However, both Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. and Havemann et al./Zhao et al. do not disclose the mixed solution for the electroless plating.

The Charneski et al. reference discloses sulfuric acid and cupric sulfate (col. 8, line 31) used in cooper plating process.

The Eriksson reference discloses the use of silver nitrate, gold chloride with noble metal salts and hydroxide in the mixed solution for electroless plating (col. 5, lines 45-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the known materials as stated by Charneski et al. and/or Eriksson to the method of Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. and Havemann et al./Zhao et al. combination because such materials would have been chosen for the electroless plating process in the art of making electrode for an electronic device in the process of Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al. and Havemann et al./Zhao et al. combination. The use of sulfuric acid and cupric sulfate is well known to those skills in the art as taught by Charneski et al. and/or Eriksson.

9. Claims 4-5, 7-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above combination as applied to claims 1-3, 6, 9 and 11 above, and further in view of Senda et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,364,459.

Re claims 1-3, 6, 9 and 11, the combination discloses essentially all of the limitation, it does not disclose Ag and Au and the kind of reductant used.

Re claims 2, 4-5, 7-8, 10-11, the Senda et al. reference discloses in the background of the invention that the first metal could be Cu, Ag or Au; the reductant could be formaldehyde; and "the electroless plating is not only applied to formation of a conductive film such as an electrode for an electronic component", col. 1, lines 10-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the known materials as stated by Senda et al. to the method of the combination because such materials would have been chosen for electroless plating process in order to have better trench fill in the art of making electrode for an electronic device. The use of Cu, Ag or Au and formaldehyde is well known to those skilled in the art as taught by Senda et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,483

Art Unit: 2823

Page 8

10. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havemann et al./ Yamazaki et al./ Shigeta et al./Senda et al. and Charneski et al. and/or Eriksson combination as applied to claims 1-11 above, and further in view of JP 05-265040 and applicant's admitted prior art.

In the combination, the Havemann et al. reference discloses a process for forming thin film conductors comprising forming a photoresist pattern on a substrate using electroless plating, the Senda et al. reference discloses formation of a conductive film such as an electrode for an electronic component using electroless plating.

None of the references disclose the further steps for forming the transistor.

However, <u>JP 05-265040 (provided by applicant) discloses</u> the steps of making gate line in a trench and the applicant admitted prior art performs the further steps for forming the transistor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the method of the combination the further step of making a trench gate line of the JP 05-265040 and the further step of forming a transistor of applicant's admitted prior art as the method and the analogous electrode would be selected in accordance with the teaching of JP 05-265040 and the applicant's admitted prior art to complete the LCD device.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,483 Page 9

Art Unit: 2823

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 09/12//2005, entered 10/13/2005 after RCE, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The two newly added limitations at the end of currently amended claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as in the above. Applicant's argument on the second limitation is considered in the first rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) wherein Zhao et al.'s figs. 12-13 have "a surface including the surface of the substrate and the surface of the first metal is substantially planar" formed by selective electroless deposition which "eliminates the need for a polishing or etching step to remove the excess deposited material".

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,483

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

₩ N 110/01/2005

George Fourson
Primary Examiner

Page 10